# MTSS and Core Instruction in Reading

Matthew Burns, Ph.D.





MyFreePPT.com

### **Reading Instruction in Elementary School**



### Hattie – Visible Learning



KEY	
Standard error 0.0	56 (Medium)
Rank	129th
Number of meta-analyses	4
Number of studies	64
Number of effects	197
Number of people (1)	630



KEY	
Standard error	0.221 (High)
Rank	22nd
Number of meta-analyses	14
Number of studies	425
Number of effects	5,968
Number of people (5)	12,124



٠

KEY	
Standard error	0.080 (High) 16th
Number of meta-analyses	2
Number of studies Number of effects	54 156
Number of people (0)	na

.



.

٠

KEY	
Standard error	0.056 (Medium)
Rank	28th
Number of meta-ana	lyses 9
Number of studies	415
Number of effects	2,653
Number of people (6)	11,585 ,

1.

## **Teacher Roles**

<ul> <li>Activator</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Facilitator</li> </ul>	
Drill & practice	<i>d</i> = 0.99	Simulation/game	<i>d</i> = 0.32
Feedback	<i>d</i> = 0.72	Inquiry-based	<i>d</i> = 0.31
Meta-cognition	<i>d</i> = 0.67	Class size	<i>d</i> = 0.21
Direct Instruction	<i>d</i> = 0.59	Problem-based	<i>d = 0</i> .15
Mastery Learning	<i>d</i> = 0.57	Inductive teach	<i>d</i> = 0.06
Formative Assessment	<i>d</i> = 0.46		
Total	<i>d</i> = 0.60	Total	<i>d</i> = 0.17

Interventions for Children with Learning and Behavioral Disabilities

Reading comprehension1.13Applied behavior analysis0.93Direct instruction0.84Psycholinguistic training0.39Social skills training0.21Modality instruction0.15Perceptual training0.08Kavale & Forness, 2000

### National Survey of Special Education Teachers

Percent used at least weekly

Applied behavior analysi	s 70.1%
Direct instruction	89.6%
Psycholinguistic training	40.2%
Social skills training	75.8%
Modality instruction	79.9%
Perceptual training	31.6%
Burr	ns & Ysselvke 2009

Reflection break 1 – What is one thing that I do that activates student learning?

### Effective PLCs Matter

On student achievement Beyond school variables Beyond starting scores JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSULTATION https://doi.org/10.1080/10474412.2017.1385396 Routledge Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

#### Factors of Professional Learning Community Implementation and Effect on Student Achievement

Matthew K. Burns, Meredith R. Naughton, June L. Preast, Ze Wang, Robert L. Gordon, Vicki Robb, and Michelle L. Smith

University of Missouri



# Components of Effective PLCs (according to research)

<b>Collaborative Leadership Process</b>	Data-Driven Systems for Learning
Learning Community Culture (mission, vision, commitments, smart goals)	Student Learning (unwrapped learning objectives, instructional map)
Building Leadership Team (shared leadership, meeting conditions, communication and feedback, trust)	Assessment (matched to purpose, feedback to students)
Administrative Leadership (model, communication, active involvement)	Continuous Improvement (induction, action research, data analysis, celebration)
Systems of Intervention (focus on re	sults, collectively responsible, tier 1,

tier 2, tier 3, protocols, school-wide implementation)

Burns et al., 2018 – Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation

PLC Meetings:	Agenda
PLC: 1 <sup>st</sup> weekly meeting of the month (Content Focus)	<ul> <li>Grade level teams and coaches with additional personnel as appropriate</li> <li>School-site established PLC focus on various topics (e.g., math, STEM, behavior, environment, or other school topical initiatives)</li> </ul>
PLC: 2 <sup>nd</sup> weekly meeting of the month MTSS (Core Instruction Literacy Focus)	<ul> <li>Grade level teams and coaches with additional personnel as appropriate</li> <li>Examine various formal and informal data to drive core instruction</li> <li>Agenda will include embedded professional development on topics that address opportunities and challenges for core instruction</li> </ul>
PLC: 3 <sup>rd</sup> weekly meeting of the month (Content Focus)	<ul> <li>Grade level teams and coaches with additional personnel as appropriate</li> <li>School-site established PLC focus with schools studying varied topics</li> </ul>
PLC: 4 <sup>th</sup> weekly meeting of the month MTSS (Data Analysis)	<ul> <li>Grade level teams and coaches with additional personnel as appropriate (data management team)</li> <li>Analyze screening/benchmark data</li> <li>Analyze progress monitoring data</li> <li>Discuss, monitor and adjust tiered interventions.</li> </ul>

.

.

٠

.

.

Reflection break 2 – What characteristics of data-driven systems of learning do our PLCs exhibit?

### Does This Look Familiar?

MAP Criterion = 212

CBM-ORF Criterion = 141

Student	MAP	CBM-ORF
601	225	209
602	210	113
603	210	135
604	196	138
605	219	145
606	211	75
607	220	128
608	206	132
609	204	126
610	221	214
611	183	88
612	209	137
613	211	158
615	210	122
616	222	133
617	224	158
618	211	85
619	208	140
620	210	137
621	214	125
622	204	101
623	215	122
624	227	172
Median	211	133

### What is the Class Median?

- Median: the middle value in a list of numbers when the values are arranged from lowest to highest.
- Finding the class median:
  - Order student scores from the lowest to highest value.
  - The score in the middle of the list is the median.
  - If there is an even number of scores, take the average of the middle two scores.

### What is the Class Median?

M	ODEL	Winter Be	enchmark	101		Winter Bend	hmark	101
	Student	Grade	ORF WRC	Errors	Student	Grade	ORF	
	A	3	21	8			WRC	Erro
	В	3	18	6	В	3	18	6
	С	3	87	1	А	3	21	8
	D	3	110	0	Е	3	46	6
	E	3	46	6	Ν	3	49	6
	F	3	92	1	K	3	50	8
	G	3	89	3	R	3	76	3
	Н	3	98	1	Р	3	86	6
		3	119	2	С	3	87	1
	J	3	96	2	G	3	89	3
	К	3	50	8	Q	3	(89)	2
	L	3	122	2	F	3	92	1
	М	3	97	1	U	3	94	2
	N	3	49	6	J	3	96	2
	0	3	105	0	М	3	97	1
	Р	3	86	6	H	3	98	1
	Q	3	89	2	0	3	105	0
	R	3	76	3	D	3	110	0
	S	3	112	3	S	3	112	3
	Т	3	141	1		3	119	2
	U	3	94	2	L	3	122	2
	Class N	/ledian			Т	3	141	1
					Class	Median	92	

### What is the Class Median?

MODEL

	75			
Student	Crada	ORF		
Student	Grade	WRC	Errors	
A	2	64	5	
В	2	22	5	
С	2	77	0	
D	2	68	4	
E	2	21	1	
F	2	18	2	
G	2	60	0	
Н	2	70	2	
I	2	84	0	
J	2	77	0	
K	2	26	4	
L	2	89	1	
M	2	54	0	
N	2	46	8	
0	2	70	3	
P	2	75	0	
Q	2	32	6	
R	2	35	2	
S	2	51	1	
Т	2	71	1	
Class	Median			

Chuda		O	RF	
nt	Grade	WRC	Erro rs	
F	2	18	2	0
E	2	21	1	0
В	2	22	5	0
К	2	26	4	0
Q	2	32	6	0
R	2	35	2	0
N	2	46	8	1
S	2	51	1	1
М	2	54	0	1
G	2	60	0	1
Α	2	64	5	2
D	2	68	4	2
Н	2	70	2	2
0	2	70	3	3
Т	2	71	1	4
Р	2	75	0	4
С	2	77	0	5
J	2	77	0	5
Ι	2	84	0	6
L	2	89	1	8
Class	Median	62		



#### IES PRACTICE GUIDE

#### WHAT WORKS CLEARINGHOUSE

Assisting Students Struggling with Reading: Response to Intervention (Rtl) and Multi-Tier Intervention in the Primary Grades





This research was supported in part by Award Number R305G04104 from the the Institute of Education, U.S. Department of Education. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the U.S. Department of Education.

Copyright © 2018 by Vanderbilt University

Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies First Grade Reading Center on Accelerating Student Learning



Deuglas Fuchs, Lynn Puchs, Ebits Sonnaon, Louten Yan, Anneko Thompson, Kristen McManter, Bagelania Al Okalia, Kanzy Tang, Mary Sosari Sagala ita kumanan da Al Antala Santa Santa

**Classwide Intervention** 

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies (PALS)

Lyon S. Parte, Despise Parte, Sarah Kasslan, Patricia Mathen, Karin Prontica, & Laura Sanne Constanti Colone, Technical University

Support for the development of the assessed year provided as part to former IDECHARGE Space the Texamed Sections on Probability and Debuttering the section, and there takes the IDECHARGE Space Section Interface of Control Section Texame Texameters

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

Reading Methods for Grades 2-6 2008 Revised Edition

Douglas Fuchs, Ph.D., Lynn S. Fuchs, Ph.D., Deborah C. Simmons, Ph.D., and Patricia G. Mathes, Ph.D.

> Support for the development of this manual was provided by Grants #8021590020 and 18022(10086 from the Office of Special Education Programs, #8205G091096 from the Institute of Education Sciences in the U.S. Department of Education, and Core Grant #80159552 from the National Institu of Child Health and Human Development.

PALS

Copyright © 2017 by Vanderbilt Universit

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Procedure

### **Partner Reading**

- Stronger reader reads aloud for 5 minutes
- The weaker reader reads aloud the SAME text for 5 minutes

### Paragraph Shrinking

- For 5 minutes the stronger read continues reading new text in the story, stopping after each paragraph to summarize
- For 5 minutes the weaker reader continues with the new text, stopping after each paragraph to summarize

### **Paragraph Shrinking**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

NAME THE MOST IMPORTANT **WHO** OR **WHAT.**  2 TELL THE **MOST** 

**IMPORTANT THING** ABOUT THE WHO OR WHAT. 3

SAY THE MAIN IDEA IN **10** WORDS OR LESS.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Timeline

1 Collect Data: Pretest (fluency and comprehension)

**Day 1:** Train Students on Set Up Procedures and Partner Reading, Practice Reading for 10 minutes, Error Correction

2

**Bay 2:** Train Students on Paragraph Shrinking, Practice Reading for 10 minutes 4 Day 3-10: Partner Reading, Paragraph Shrinking 15 minutes every day Collect Data: Post-test (fluency and comp.)

### What we found: 3<sup>rd</sup> grade Partner Reading data

Third Grade						
Third Grade Benchmark	91 Words Rea (WR					
	Pre Intervention Class Median (WRC)	Post Intervention Class Median (WRC)	Slope (WRC)			
Class 1	81	104	11.5			
Class 2	87	115	14			

	WRC	WRC after Intervention	
Student 1	48	92	
Student 2	122	142	
Student 3	126	147	
Student 4	82	113	
Student 5	102	117	
Student 6	77	97	
Student 7	51	70	
Student 8	84	95	
Student 9	80	82	
Student 10	102	127	
Student 11	83	106	
Student 12	38	47	
Student 13	104	115	
Student 14	152	161	
Student 15	143	158	
Student 16	115	125	
Student 17	142	160	
Student 18	114	127	
Student 19	13	40	
Student 20	75	92	
Student 21	141	136	
Student 22	87	105	
Student 23	49	47	
Median	87	113	

### What we found: 3<sup>rd</sup> grade Partner Reading data

	Students Below Benchmark Pre Intervention	Students Below Benchmark Post Intervention	Total Students in Class
Third Grade Class 1	10	5	20
Third Grade Class 2	13	5	23

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Growth from Winter to Spring Class-Wide Interventions 10 Classrooms K-3**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Growth from Winter To Spring NO Class-Wide Interventions 11 Classrooms K-3** 

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Maki et al. (2020)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 1 Curriculum-based measure for reading median scores for treatment and control classrooms

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Science Project**

- Approximately 140 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> graders
- Science content
- Readworks.org
- Science MAZE
- 2 weeks

MAZE Growth 4<sup>th</sup> Grade

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

MAZE Growth 5<sup>th</sup> Grade

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ← Tweet

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

Two weeks ago our class median for words correct per minute was 50 (2nd grade) . -Now our class median is 66! This is thanks to a class wide intervention I implemented after learning from @burnsmk1. I love doing mini-research in my classroom!

...

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

8:17 PM · Oct 12, 2021 · Twitter Web App

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

Reflection break 3 – What barriers would keep you from attempting classwide intervention in your classroom?
# Small-Groups in Core Instruction

# Do Reading Groups Work?

- Allow for differentiation
- Previously based on student aptitude (remember Red Robin?)
- Within-class grouping led to positive effects for different ability groups (Lou, 2013).

# BUT

•Level ≠ Skill

# Focus on skill

## Problem 1 with level

- Assessment of level are inaccurate
- F&P BAS led to 54% correct decisions



shutterstock.com · 1317073241

# Problem 2 – level assessments underestimate good readers and overestimate low readers

Group	Frustration n	Instructional n	Independent n	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Low = ORF <u>&lt; 25<sup>th</sup> Percentile</u>	7	5	0	
	(58%)	(41.7%)	(0.0%)	
Middle = 26 <sup>th</sup> to 75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	2	4	15	
	(9.5%)	(19.0%)	(71.4%)	
High = ORF <u>&gt;</u> 76 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	1	9	21	
	(3.2%)	(29.0%)	(67.7%)	

# Problem 3 – there are considerable skill differences among kids at the same level



	Student	MAP RIT	MAP %ile	F&P	ORF	Accuracy
	1	149	1	G	30	77%
	2	158	3	G	37	88%
	3	159	4	G	30	94%
	4	170	27	G	32	87%
	5	166	17	G	58	89%
	6	188	73	G	80	98%
	7	157	1	G	26	93%
	8	149	1	G	27	84%
	9	160	6	G	36	86%
	10	154	1	G	30	77%
	11	160	6	G	31	82%
	12	166	17	G	44	90%
	13	163	11	G	47	90%
	14	161	8	G	61	95%
• •	15	167	19	G	70	100%
	16	155	1	G	17	77%



### **Purposes of Assessment**

Screening: Which of my students are not meeting grade level expectations given Universal Instruction? (e.g., Star Reading, CBM-R)

Diagnostic: What are the specific needs of students who struggle with reading or math? (e.g., measures of skills)

Monitoring Progress: What does the student's growth look like? (CBM)

#### Reflection break 4 – What classroom assessments do I use that measure more than level?

# Targeting Small-Group Instruction



Phonemic Awareness is the ability to hear and manipulate sounds letters make; our spoken language (Armbruster, et. al, p.1)

Phonics is understanding each letter has a sound(s) that go with it; relationship between spoken and written language (Armbruster, et. al, p.17)

Fluency is accurate and quick reading of text where the reader recognizes words and does not need to figure out what each word is (Armbruster, et. al, p.19)

Vocabulary is the words we use to listen, speak, read, and write; how we communicate (Armbruster, et. al, p. 29)

**Comprehension** is understanding what is being read by actively making sense of the text with the help of various strategies (Armbruster, et. al, p. 41)

#### LANGUAGE COMPREHENSION

BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE (facts, concepts, etc.)

VOCABULARY (breadth, precision, links, etc.)

LANGUAGE STRUCTURES (syntax, semantics, etc.)

VERBAL REASONING (inference, metaphor, etc.)

LITERACY KNOWLEDGE (print concepts, genres, etc.)

#### WORD RECOGNITION

PHONOLOGICAL AWARENESS (syllables, phonemes, etc.)

Queller

DECODING (alphabetic principle, spelling-sound correspondences)

SIGHT RECOGNITION (of familiar words)

SKILLED READING: Fluent execution and coordination of word recognition and text comprehension.

ncreasingly

strategic

increasingly

automatic



### **Purposes of Assessment**

Screening: Which of my students are not meeting grade level expectations given Universal Instruction? (e.g., Star Reading, CBM-R)

Diagnostic: What are the specific needs of students who struggle with reading or math? (e.g., measures of skills)

Monitoring Progress: What does the student's growth look like? (CBM)



# Accuracy is Key!

## Less than 93% of words read correctly – not breaking the code





Student ID	MAP	CBM Fall	Errors	Accuracy %	
611	183	88	4	95.7	
604	196	138	0	100	Student Number 606
609	204	126	2	98.4	
622	204	101	2	98.1	Low Comp (MAD)
608	206	132	2	98.5	LOW COMP (MAP)
619	208	140	0	100	
612	209	137	0	100	Low Fluency (CBM-R)
602	210	113	3	97.4	
603	210	135	3	97.8	Low Accuracy (89.3%)
615	210	122	9	93.1	
620	210	137	0	100	
606	211	75	9	89.3	
613	211	158	2	98.8	Deceding
618	211	85	10	89.5	Decoding!
621	214	125	4	96.9	
623	215	122	5	96.1	
605	219	145	1	99.3	
607	220	128	3	97.7	
610	221	214	0	100	
616	222	133	1	99.3	
617	224	158	0	100	
601	225	209	1	99.5	
624	227	172	0	100	

Student ID	MAP	CBM Fall	Errors	Accuracy %	
611	183	88	4	95.7	
604	196	138	0	100	Student Number 620
609	204	126	2	98.4	
622	204	101	2	98.1	Low Comp (MAD)
608	206	132	2	98.5	
619	208	140	0	100	
612	209	137	0	100	Low Fluency (CBM-R)
602	210	113	3	97.4	
603	210	135	3	97.8	High Accuracy (100%)
615	210	122	9	93.1	
620	210	137	0	100	
606	211	75	9	89.3	
613	211	158	2	98.8	Fluenevil
618	211	85	10	89.5	Fluency!
621	214	125	4	96.9	
623	215	122	5	96.1	
605	219	145	1	99.3	
607	220	128	3	97.7	
610	221	214	0	100	
616	222	133	1	99.3	
617	224	158	0	100	
601	225	209	1	99.5	
624	227	172	0	100	

# Reflection break 5 – What focus does student 608 need? How about 618?

#### What About Phonemic Awareness?

- Immediate Effect = 0.53
  - Follow up 0.45 to 0.23
- Number of Skills
  - One = 0.71
  - Two = 0.79
  - Three or More 0.27
- Letters
  - Includes = 0.67
  - Does not include = 0.38
- Grade
  - Preschool = 1.25
  - Kindergarten = 0.48
  - First = 0.49

#### PA and Struggling Readers

#### • 123 struggling readers (as measured by Star-Reading)



#### **Relationship Between DIBELS Composite and CTOPP Score**

Grade	Ν	Correlation	Number of Students Low PA
Kindergarten	28	.35*	20 (70%)
First Grade	26	.19	10 (38%)
Second Grade	32	.27	7 (21%)
Third Grade	37	.02	5 (14%)





Average CTOPP PA Subtest Score by Grade

Plis Sound Matching for K and 1st.

Regression of Oral Reading Fluency on Phonemic Awareness (as Measured by Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing Second Edition) and Reading Decoding (as Measured by Nonsense Word Fluency) with Decoding in Model 2 with Students in Second and Third Grades (n = 69).

		<u>Model 1</u>			Model 2			Model 3				
Variable	В	SE	Beta	Т	В	SE	Beta	t	В	SE	Beta	t
Constant	-0.16	0.71		-0.23	-0.42	0.47		-0.89	-0.31	0.54		-0.57
Phoneme Blending	0.04	0.05	.11	0.85	0.01	0.03	.02	0.29	0.01	0.04	.03	0.36
Phoneme Isolation	-0.04	0.06	08	-0.67	0.04	0.04	.08	0.93	0.04	0.04	.08	0.99
Reading Decoding					0.77	0.08	.77	9.27	0.79	0.10	.78	8.33*
Phoneme Elision									-0.02	0.04	04	-0.47
	$R^2 = .0$	$R^2 = .02, \Delta = .02, F = 0.51$				8, Δ = .	56, F =	85.85*	R <sup>2</sup> =	.58, Δ <	< .01, F	= 0.22

\*p < .05



	Po	n c h m	Analysi	s to Action	PRESS Parth to Reading Excellence in School State
Meeting Date: 1/12/14 Teacher Name	_Ľ	ttn	er	Assessment Analyzed: ORF	Class Wide Median: <u>93.</u> 5/2.
Determine Need:			(Section 1)	Action Items:	
Is a Whole Class Intervention necessary ?			h	Determine appropriate Class	Wide Intervention:
res the			Amine	Determine Start Date:	
	If	yes, t	hen )	Determine End Date:	
			L.	Schedule Fidelity Check:	
Which students fall within the at-risk range? Are there any students we missed?				<ul> <li>Progress Monitor Assessment</li> <li>Among students identified as needing a Tier 2 intervention, what is the category of the problem? (phonemic awareness, decoding, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension)</li> </ul>	What intervention do you plan to use to address the problem?
Student Name:	WRC/	Error	Accuracy		
1. Student A	34	6	85%	decoding	
<sup>2</sup> Student B	41	/5	89%	decoding	
<sup>3.</sup> Student C	44	14	92%	decoding	
4. Student D	58	14	94%	fluency	
5. Student E	67	12	97%	fluency	
<sup>6.</sup> Student F	78	/3	96%	fluency	
". Student G	83	14	95%	fluency	
8.					-

Benchmark Criterion FALL: \_\_\_\_WINTER: 91\_SPRING: \_\_\_\_

#### **Repeated Readings**

- •One of the oldest and most well-researched interventions
- High OTR
- Generalizes to passage and similar ones

#### Repeated Reading

#### Objective: To increase fluent reading on passages for students who

- read with high accuracy
- show benefit from repeated practice on the same passage
- Materials: 2 copies each of texts that the student can read with <u>at least</u> 95% accuracy Stop-watch Pencil/pen for teacher to mark errors



Sequence:

- Teacher explains that students will be reading a passage multiple times to work on increasing fluency (fluency is rate <u>and</u> accuracy <u>and</u> expression – not just speed)
- 2. Teacher gives copies of passages to student
- (Optional Step) Student whisper reads passage to him/herself while tracking with his/her finger to figure out unknown words. Students may ask about any unknown words.
- 4. Teacher explains that for the first reading out-loud, the student will read for 1 minute.
- 5. Teacher says "Begin" (not "Start") and starts stop-watch.
- 6. Student reads passage out-loud.
- Teacher marks errors and monitors stopwatch. At one minute, teacher says "Stop" and marks the last word read by the student.
- Teacher records number of correct words per minute and graphs results, showing the graph to the student.
- Teacher provides standard error correction for each word the student read in error. ("That word is \_\_\_\_\_. What word?" The student repeats the word. Teacher says, "Yes. That word is \_\_\_\_\_." Student goes back to the beginning of the sentence to begin again.)
- Repeat steps 5-9 at least two more times for a minimum of 3 timed readings (student reads, teacher times, words read correctly are recorded, and errors are corrected). Additional repetitions may be completed if student's fluency continues to improve through these readings.

## Strategies

What was Taught	Materials	How it was Taught
Reciprocal Teaching (Palinscar& Brown, 1984) •Activate Prior knowledge	4 <sup>th</sup> grade passages and questions	Each individual strategy is taught by: Modeling
•Predict		Working with the student
•Summarize		Having the student work independently
•Clarify		



#### Fab Four Bookmark

#### Predict

Use clues from the text or illustrations to predict what will happen next.

I think...because... I'll bet...because... I suppose...because... I think I will learn ... because ...

#### **Question**

Ask questions as you read. Some are answered in the book, and others are inferred.

I wonder.... Who? What? When? Where? Why? How? Why do you think?

#### Clarify

How can you figure out tricky or hard words and ideas?

I didn't get the [word, part, idea] so I: Ask if it makes sense

- Reread Read on
- Talk to a friend
- Sound words out



#### Summarize

Using your own words, tell the main ideas from the text in order.

This text is about.... Next,.... Then,.... This part is about .... Finally,.... First,....

#### Fab Four Bookmark

#### Predict

Use clues from the text or illustrations to predict what will happen next.

I think...because... I'll bet...because... I suppose...because... I think I will learn...because ...

#### **Question**

Ask questions as you read. Some are answered in the book, and others are inferred.

1 wonder.... Who? What? When? Where? Why? How? Why do you think?

#### Clarify

How can you figure out tricky or hard words and ideas?

I didn't get the [word, part, idea] so I: Ask if it makes sense

- Reread
  - Talk to a friend
- Sound words out



Read on

#### Summarize

Using your own words, tell the main ideas from the text in order.

This text is about.... This part is about.... First,....

Next,.... Then..... Finally,....



## Predict

- 1. Look at the main title
- 2. Scan the page to look at major headings
- 3. Look at any illustrations (e.g., maps, captions, tables)
- 4. Predict what the story is about
- 5. Write predictions down and read

#### Summarize

- 1. Read the passage
- 2. Write one or two sentences that sum it up
- 3. Two common errors
  - Providing too much detail
  - Only referencing a section of the passage
- 4. Provide feedback with questions
  - Does your summary cover the *whole* story, or just a part of it?
  - If I asked you to tell me what the story was about using only 2 sentences, what would you say?").

#### **Generate Questions**

- 1. Create a list of main ideas
- 2. Write down a question that the main idea will answer.
  - "Who", "What", "Where, "When", "Why" and "How."
- 3. Look at the summary you just wrote, does that answer your questions?

### Clarifying

- 1. Look for unknown words or unclear sentences
- 2. Use the surrounding text or a dictionary to figure out the meaning
- 3. Replace the word in the text and read the sentence aloud
- 4. Ask prompting questions (e.g., "Does that make sense to you?")





Matthew K. Burns and David C. Parker

T. Chris Riley-Tillman, Matthew K. Burns, & Kimberly Gibbons

VOLUME 2

Implementing **Response-to-Intervention** in Elementary and **Secondary Schools** 

Procedures to Assure Scientific-Based Practices



School-Based Practice in Action Series



Expert advice on avoiding common pitfalls = Conveniently formatted for rapid reference

Amanda M. VanDerHeyden Matthew K. Burns

Alan S. Kaufman & Nadeen L. Kaufman, Series Edit

Shane R. Jimerson Matthew K. Burns Amanda M. VanDerHeyden Editors

#### Handbook of Response to Intervention

The Science and Practice of Multi-Tiered Systems of Support

Second Edition

Foreword by Sharon Vaughn

D Springer





